

[Assume familiarity with diff geom / Lie groups]

I Riemann surfaces and holomorphic functions.

« A Riemann surface or complex curve is a 2^d real manifold with a distinguished notion of holomorphic functions »

1) First definition

A **Riemann surface** (more generally a **complex manifold**) is given by the data of a (Hausdorff/ σ compact) topological space X with an complex atlas $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}$

- U_i is a covering of X .
- z_i homeomorphisms from $U_i \rightarrow V_i \subset \mathbb{C}$
- so that $z_i \circ z_j^{-1}$ are holomorphic

Then a **holomorphic function** on $V \subset^{\text{open}} X$; is a map $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

so that $\forall i; f \circ z_i^{-1}$ is holomorphic

$\mathcal{O}(V) = \{ \text{space of holomorphic functions on } V \}$

A map $\Psi: X \rightarrow Y$ is **holomorphic** if it is continuous and

$$\forall V \subset Y; \Psi^*(\mathcal{O}(V)) \subset \mathcal{O}(\Psi^{-1}(V))$$

Ψ is **biholomorphic** if it is a bijection and both Ψ, Ψ^{-1} are holomorphic

Example: $\text{det } \mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z > 0\}$; **homographies** $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$

then $\text{Bihol}(\mathbb{H}) = \{ \text{homographies} \} = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$

in higher dimension \leadsto **complex manifold**

2) **Second definition**, an **almost complex manifold** is a real manifold M equipped with a section $\mathcal{J} \in \Gamma(\text{End}(TM))$ so that $\mathcal{J}^2 = -1$.

$$\forall x \in M; \mathcal{J}_x: T_x M \rightarrow T_x M, \mathcal{J}_x^2 = -1$$

A **holomorphic function** is a C^1 function $V \subset M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$df \circ \mathcal{J} = i df$$

! in higher dimension, holomorphic functions could be rare!

Example: (a) Any oriented Riemannian surface (i.e. surface with a Riemannian metric g) has an almost complex structure: $J_x =$ rotation by $\frac{\pi}{2}$ in $T_x S$.

Observe two metrics give the same Riemann surface structure iff they are conformal

That is $g_1 = \lambda g_2$, $\lambda \in C^\infty(S)$

(b) Any Riemann surface (S, g_1) is a Riemann surface (S, g_2) with the same holomorphic functions

Theorem: an almost complex surface is a Riemann surface with the same notion of holomorphic functions. [existence of isothermal coordinates]

▲ Prove that there exists local holomorphic functions $f(z)$ ►
(not true in higher dimension)

Uniformization theorem

Any connected simply connected Riemann surface is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} or S^2

Corollary: Any closed Riemann surface admits a constant curvature metric, unique up to scalar multiplication.

Corollary: If S is a Riemann surface of $g \geq 2$, then $S \approx \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$
where $\pi_1(S) \approx \Gamma \subset \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

II Holomorphic objects

[take S "underlying surface" to a Riemann surface X]

let $T_{\mathbb{C}}S \rightarrow S$; $T_{\mathbb{C}}S = \text{Hom}(TS, \mathbb{C}) = (\text{complex valued forms})$

$T_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}S := \{\omega \mid \omega(\partial_u) = i\omega(u)\} \rightarrow X$ (complex linear forms)

$T_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}S := \{\omega \mid \omega(\partial_u) = -i\omega(u)\} \rightarrow X$ (complex antilinear forms)

If $U \subset S$; $\Omega^{1,0}(U) := \{\text{sections over } U \text{ of } T_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}(X)\}$

$\Omega^{0,1}(U) := \{\text{sections } U \text{ of } T_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}(X)\}$

Obviously:

- $d : \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \Omega^{1,0}(U)$
- $\bar{d} : f \mapsto d\bar{f} ; \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(U).$

A 1-form α on $U \subset X$ is **holomorphic** if $\forall x \in U$, there exists

$V \in \mathcal{U}(x)$; and $f_1, \dots, f_N, g_1, \dots, g_N \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ so that

$$\omega = \sum_1^N f_i dg_i \text{ on } V$$

We also say α is an **abelian differential** and denote

by $\omega(U)$ the set of abelian differentials over U .

Proposition

(i) Any abelian differential is closed

(ii) If z is a local complex coordinate then any abelian differential is $f(z)dz$ where f is holomorphic

(iii) locally any abelian differential $\omega = dh$, where h is holomorphic

Holomorphic vector fields. A vector field is **holomorphic** if, for every holomorphic differential $\omega(\xi)$ is a holomorphic function.

Exercise. Show that ξ is holomorphic $\Leftrightarrow L_{\xi}J=0$

where $L_{\xi}J \in \Gamma(\text{End}(TS))$ given by

$$(L_{\xi}J)(X) = J[\xi, X] - [\xi, JX].$$

Higher differentials

|| A n -ic differential defined on U is a section of $\text{Sym}(T'^0(S))$ on U

Definition a n -ic differential is holomorphic, if locally it can be written

|| a $\sum_i f_i (dg_i)^n$ where f_i, g_i are holomorphic

Exercise: If ω is a holomorphic differential, it can be written as

$$\omega = f(z) dz^n \text{ in a complex chart.}$$

III Holomorphic vector bundle

« A holomorphic bundle is a vector bundle with a notion of holomorphic sections »

1. Definition

let X be a Riemann surface, and $\{E_x\}_{x \in X}$ a family of vector spaces indexed by x ; If U open $\subset X$, a **trivialisation** g^U is a family of isomorphisms $g_x^U : E_x \rightarrow E_U$, for all x in U

Two trivialisations g^U and g^V are **holomorphically compatible** if

$$U \cap V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_U, E_V)$$

$$z \mapsto g_z^V \circ (g_z^U)^{-1}$$

are holomorphic

A holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{E} is a family of vector spaces indexed by X together with compatible trivialisations g^{U_i} , where U_i is a covering of X .

A local trivialisaton of \mathcal{E} is a trivialisaton compatible with all the g^{U_i}

A holomorphic section of E over U , is a map $U \mapsto \prod_{x \in U} E_x$, $y \mapsto u_y$ so that for every $x_0 \in U$, there exists a local trivialisaton g^V , $x_0 \in V$ so that $y \mapsto g^V(u_y)$ is holomorphic

We shall denote by $\mathcal{E}(U)$ the vector space of holomorphic sections over U ,

If \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} are holomorphic over X, Y . let $F: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ a bundle morphism over $f: X \rightarrow Y$, is holomorphic if given any holomorphic trivialisations $\mathcal{E}|_U \rightarrow E_u \times V$; $\mathcal{F}|_V = \mathcal{F}_v \times V$ so that $f(U) \subset V$, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_u & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} & \mathcal{F}_v \times V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_u \times U & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_0} & \mathcal{F}_v \times V \end{array} \quad \text{then } \mathcal{F}_0: (u, z) \mapsto (g(u, z), f(z))$$

is holomorphic. In particular, f is holomorphic. Actually it is enough to check this property for trivialisations defined over a covering. **Exercise**: define isomorphisms

Algebraic constructions If \mathcal{E} is a holomorphic vector bundle, then \mathcal{E}^* is holomorphic. If furthermore \mathcal{F} is holomorphic so are $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$.

2. Definition (Cauchy-Riemann operator on X)

$$\bar{\partial}: C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(X)$$

$$f \mapsto \bar{\partial}f = df^{0,1} : u \mapsto \frac{1}{2}[df(u) + i df(\mathcal{J}u)]$$

- f holomorphic $\Leftrightarrow \bar{\partial}f = 0$
- $\bar{\partial}fg = \bar{\partial}f \cdot g + g \bar{\partial}f$.

let E be a complex vector bundle over X a **Cauchy Riemann operator** (or by a standard and confusing practice a **$\bar{\partial}$ -operator**) on E is a linear operator

$$\bar{\partial}_E: \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X, E) \text{ (complex 1-forms with values in } E)$$

$$\text{so that } \bar{\partial}_E(f \cdot \sigma) = f \bar{\partial} \sigma + \bar{\partial} f \cdot \sigma$$

|| a **holomorphic vector bundle** is $(E, \bar{\partial})$
 || a **holomorphic section** σ is so that $\bar{\partial} \sigma = 0$

Examples ① If ∇ is a connection on E $\bar{\partial}: \sigma \mapsto (X \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X \sigma + i \nabla_{jX} \sigma))$ is a $\bar{\partial}$ -operator

② the trivial holomorphic bundle has a $\bar{\partial}$ -operator whose holomorphic sections are the same

③ Every holomorphic bundle \mathcal{E} (in the first sense) has a $\bar{\partial}$ operator [check the $\bar{\partial}$ operator on trivialisations coincide]

Theorem [Newlander-Nirenberg]

Every $(E, \bar{\partial})$ has the structure of a holomorphic bundle with the same notion of holomorphic sections

◀ show that we have a frame $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ of sections (locally) so that $\bar{\partial} \sigma_i = 0$ ▶

Examples of holomorphic bundles / notations

- notation if \mathcal{E} is holomorphic over X
 $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) = \{ \text{holomorphic sections} \} = H^0(\mathcal{E})$
- $T_{\mathbb{C}}^* S \rightarrow S$, if S is a Riemann surface admits a holomorphic structure denoted κ_S **the canonical bundle**
- abelian differential on $X \in \mathring{H}(\kappa_X)$
- n -ic holomorphic differential $\in H^0(\kappa_X^n)$

...

c.